THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI BALRAM JAKHAR): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The proposal of the Government of Karnataka was examined in accordance with the provisions of the Scheme for financing relief expenditure. Under the Scheme, the State Governments are required to meet the expenditure on relief, rehabilitation and repair/reconstruction of damaged infrastructure from the corpus of the Calamity Relief Fund (CRF). The annual allocation for the CRF of Karnataka was Rs. 27.00 crores till 1994-95 including the Central contribution of Rs. 20.25 crores. The Government of India released the entire Central share of CRF for the years upto and including 1994-95. The State Government was advised to meet the requirements of funds from the amounts available under the CRF.

Supreme Court Judgement on Seniority of University Professors

*832. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of recent Supreme Court judgement on seniority of University Professors vis-a-vis direct appointees and promotees;

(b) what action University of Delhi has initiated to implement the Court's Order; and

(c) what is the follow-up action taken in respect of Professors holding administrative positions within the University like Headship, Deanship, Pro-Vice-Chancellorship, etc. in the light of the Supreme Court judgement?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) to (c) On April 20, 1995, the Supreme Court has delivered a judgement in two cases involving dispute about fixation of inter-se seniority between directly appointed and promotee Professors and Readers of Vikram University. According to this judgement, no inter-se seniority list can be drawn up consisting, jointly, of promotees and directly recruited Professors and Readers. University of Delhi has informed that they were not a party in the case in which the Supreme Court has pronounced its judgement. However, the University has informed the Government that they are seeking legal opinion in this regard.

आवास और आश्रय सुधार योजना का कार्य निष्पादन

*833. **श्री ईश दत्त यादव :**
चौधरी हरमोहन सिंह :

क्या शहरी कार्य और रोजगार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि आवास और आश्रय सुधार योजना का कार्य निष्पादन असंतोषजनक रहा है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो उस पर सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है ;

(ग) गत तीन वर्षों के दौरान इस संबंध में राज्यवार क्या प्रयास किये गए; और

(घ) गत तीन वर्षों के दौरान इस संबंध में हुडको द्वारा कितनी धनराशि जारी की गई थी और कितनी धनराशि को हुडको द्वारा अभी जारी किया जाना है ?

शहरी कार्य और रोजगार मंत्री (श्रीमती शीला कौल) : (क) से (ग) नेहरू रोजगार योजना (एन आर वाई) की आवास तथा आश्रय सुधार योजना के तहत प्रगति संतोषप्रद नहीं रही है। इसका मुख्य कारण यह था कि राज्य, ऋण के हुडको हिस्से की वसूली न होने के भय से स्वीकृत योजनाओं के बारे में अपने स्थानीय शहरी निकायों की ओर से आवास तथा शहरी विकास निगम (हुडको) को सरकारी गारन्टी नहीं दे रहे थे। इस मामले को उच्चतम स्तर पर समीक्षा बैठकों और पत्राचार के